arXiv:1701.01059v1 [cs.IT] 4 Jan 2017

New descriptions of the weighted Reed-Muller codes and the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes

Harinaivo ANDRIATAHINY
Mention: Mathematics and Computer Science,
Domain: Sciences and Technologies,
University of Antananarivo, Madagascar
e-mail: hariandriatahiny@gmail.com

Vololona Harinoro RAKOTOMALALA
Mention: Meteorology,
Domain: Sciences of the Engineer,
Higher Polytechnic School of Antananarivo (ESPA),
University of Antananarivo, Madagascar
e-mail: volhrakoto@gmail.com

January 5, 2017

Abstract

We give a description of the weighted Reed-Muller codes over a prime field in a modular algebra. A description of the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes in the same ambient space is presented for the binary case. A decoding procedure using the Landrock-Manz method is developed.

Keywords: weighted Reed-Muller codes, homogeneous Reed-Muller codes, modular algebra, Jennings basis, decoding.
MSC 2010: 94B05, 94B35, 12E05.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the Generalized Reed-Muller (GRM) codes of length p^m over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ can be viewed as the radical powers of the modular algebra $A = \mathbb{F}_p[X_0, \ldots, X_{m-1}]/(X_0^p - 1, \ldots, X_{m-1}^p - 1)$ ([1],[4],[5]). A is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{F}_{p^m}]$.
The weighted Reed-Muller codes and the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes are classes of codes in the Reed-Muller family. The Jennings basis are used to describe the GRM codes over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We utilize the elements of the Jennings basis for the description of the weighted Reed-Muller codes and the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes in A. P. Landrock and O. Manz developed a decoding algorithm

for the binary Reed-Muller codes in [9]. We use here the same method for the binary homogeneous Reed-Muller codes.
The weighted Reed-Muller codes can be considered as a generalization of the GRM codes. Some classes of the weighted Reed-Muller codes are algebraic-geometric codes.
The homogeneous Reed-Muller codes are subcodes of the GRM codes. In general, they have a much better minimum distance than the GRM codes.
We give, in section 2, the definition and some properties of the weighted Reed-Muller codes. We consider here the affine case. In section 3, a description of the weighted Reed-Muller codes over $\mathbb{F}_p$ in the quotient ring A is presented. In section 4, we recall the definition and the parameters of the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes. In section 5, we describe the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes over the two elements field $\mathbb{F}_2$ in A (with $p = 2$). In section 6, we use the Landrock-Manz method to construct a decoding procedure for the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes in the binary case. In section 7, an example is given.

2 Weighted Reed-Muller codes

The definition and the properties of the weighted Reed-Muller codes presented in this section are from [11]. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ the field of $q = p^r$ elements where p is a prime number and $r \geq 1$ is an integer. Let $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$ be the m-dimensional affine space defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$ is the ring of polynomials in m variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. If we attach to each variables Y_i a natural number w_i, called weight of Y_i, we speak about the ring of weighted polynomials, $W\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$. The weighted degree of $F \in W\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$, is defined as

$$\deg_\varpi(F) = \deg_\varpi(F(Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1})) = \deg(F(Y_0^{w_0}, \ldots, Y_{m-1}^{w_{m-1}})),$$

where deg is the usual degree.
We will, without loss of generality, always assume that the weights are ordered $w_0 \leq w_1 \leq \ldots \leq w_{m-1}$. Consider the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \quad W\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}] &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m} \\ F &\longmapsto \phi(F) = (F(P_1), \ldots, F(P_n)) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ $(n = q^m)$ is an arbitrary ordering of the elements of $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$.
For $w_0 = w_1 = \ldots = w_{m-1} = 1$ we have the following definition.
The Generalized Reed-Muller codes of order ν $(1 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1))$ and length $n = q^m$ is defined by

$$C_\nu(m, q) = \phi(V(\nu)),$$

where

$$V(\nu) = \{F \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}] \mid \deg(F) \leq \nu\}.$$

Let ω be a natural number and $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ be weights corresponding to the ring of weighted polynomials $W\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$. The weighted Reed-Muller

codes $WRMC_\omega(m,q)$ of weighted order ω and length $n = q^m$, corresponding to the weights $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ is defined by

$$WRMC_\omega(m,q) = \phi(V_\varpi(\omega)), \tag{2}$$

where

$$V_\varpi(\omega) = \{F \in W\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}] \mid \deg_\varpi(F) \leq \omega\}. \tag{3}$$

For a polynomial $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$, $\overline{F}$ denotes the reduced form of F, i.e. the polynomial of lowest degree equivalent to F modulo the ideal $(Y_i^q - Y_i, i = 0, \ldots m-1)$. For any subset M of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$, the set $\overline{M}$ denotes the set of reduced elements of M.

2.1 Remark. For $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]$, we have

1. for every $P \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^m : F(P) = \overline{F}(P)$.
2. if $F(P) = 0$ for all $P \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^m$, then $\overline{F} = 0$.

Given natural numbers ω, ν, and a set of weights $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ such that $1 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$ and $1 \leq \omega \leq (q-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i$.
Let

$$\nu_{max}(\omega) = Q'(q-1) + R'$$

where

$$Q' = max\{Q \mid \omega \geq \sum_{i=0}^{Q}(q-1)w_i\}$$

and

$$R' = max\{R \mid \omega \geq \sum_{i=0}^{Q'}(q-1)w_i + Rw_{Q'+1}\}.$$

2.2 Theorem. *Given a natural number ω and a set of ordered weights $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ such that $\omega \leq (q-1)\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} w_i$. The code $WRMC_\omega(m,q)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear $[q^m, k, d]$ code with*

$$k = \text{card}(\{(e_0, \ldots, e_{m-1}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} w_i e_i \leq \omega, 0 \leq e_i < q\})$$

and

$$d = q^{m-Q-1}(q-R)$$

where Q and R are given by

$$\nu_{max}(\omega) = Q(q-1) + R,$$

with $0 \leq R < q-1$.

2.3 Remark. The set of monomials

$$\{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} Y_i^{e_i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} w_i e_i \leq \omega, 0 \leq e_i < q\}$$

is a basis of $\overline{V_\varpi(\omega)}$.

3 Description of the weighted Reed-Muller codes in A

Consider the modular algebra

$$A = \mathbb{F}_p[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{m-1}]/(X_0^p - 1, \ldots, X_{m-1}^p - 1)$$

and the ideal

$$I = \left(X_0^p - 1, \ldots, X_{m-1}^p - 1\right)$$

of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[X_0, \ldots, X_{m-1}]$, where $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the prime field of p (a prime number) elements.
Set $x_0 = X_0 + I, \ldots, x_{m-1} = X_{m-1} + I$. Let us fix an order on the set of monomials

$$\left\{x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1} \leq p-1\right\}.$$

Then

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{i_0=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=0}^{p-1} a_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}} x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \mid a_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}. \tag{4}$$

And we have the following identification:
$A \ni \sum_{i_0=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=0}^{p-1} a_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}} x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \longleftrightarrow (a_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}})_{0 \leq i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1} \leq p-1} \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^{p^m}$.
Hence the modular algebra A is identified with $(\mathbb{F}_p)^{p^m}$.
$P(m,p)$ denotes the vector space of the reduced polynomials in m variables over $\mathbb{F}_p$:

$$\left\{ P(Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}) = \sum_{i_0=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=0}^{p-1} u_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}} Y_0^{i_0} \ldots Y_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \mid u_{i_0 \ldots i_{m-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}.$$

Consider a set of weights $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ and let ω be an integer such that $0 \leq \omega \leq (p-1)(w_0 + \ldots + w_{m-1})$.
When considering $P(m,p)$ and A as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \quad P(m,p) &\longrightarrow A \\ P(Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}) &\longmapsto \sum_{i_0=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{m-1}=0}^{p-1} P(i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The set

$$B := \left\{(x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1} \leq p-1\right\} \tag{6}$$

is called the Jennings basis of A.
Set $[0, p^m - 1] = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p^m - 1\}$.
Let $i \in [0, p^m - 1]$. Consider its p-adic expansion

$$i = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k p^k$$

with $0 \leq i_k \leq p-1$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$.
We need the following notations and definitions:
$\underline{i} := (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1})$,
the p-weight of i is defined by $wt_p(i) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k$,
and the p-weight of i with respect to the set of weights $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ is defined by

$$Wwt_p(i) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k w_k. \tag{7}$$

$\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}$ if $j_l \leq i_l$ for all $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ where $\underline{j} := (j_0, \ldots, j_{m-1}) \in ([0, p-1])^m$,
$\underline{x} := (x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$,
$\underline{x}^{\underline{i}} := x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}$.
Consider the polynomial

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} \in A. \tag{8}$$

The following proposition is from [1].

3.1 Proposition. *We have $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) = \psi^{-1}(B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}))$, where ψ is the isomorphism defined in (5), i.e.*

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}$$

where

$$H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) := \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} H_{i_l}(Y_l)$$

and

$$H_i(Y) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{p-1-i} (Y + j),$$

with $\alpha_i = -i!$ mod p.

3.2 Corollary. *We have*

$$\deg_\varpi(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = (p-1) \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} w_l - Wwt_p(i).$$

We now present a description of the weighted Reed-Muller code $WRMC_\omega(m, p)$ in the algebra A.

3.3 Theorem. *Consider a set of weights* $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}\}$ *and let* ω *be an integer such that* $0 \leq \omega \leq (p-1)\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} w_l$. *Then, the set*

$$B_\omega := \{(x_0-1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1}-1)^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq p-1, \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k i_k \geq (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - \omega\}$$

forms a linear basis of the weighted Reed-Muller code $WRMC_\omega(m,p)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_p$ *in* A.

Proof. It is clear that B_ω is a set of linearly independant elements because $B_\omega \subseteq B$.
Let $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_0-1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1}-1)^{i_{m-1}} \in B_\omega$, i.e. $0 \leq i_k \leq p-1$, for all $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k i_k \geq (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - \omega$.
By the Proposition 3.1 and the Corollary 3.2, we have $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j})\underline{x}^{\underline{j}}$ with $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) = \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} H_{i_l}(Y_l)$, $H_i(Y) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{p-1-i}(Y+j)$, and $\alpha_i = -i! \bmod p$.
We have $\deg_\varpi(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = (p-1)\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} w_l - Wwt_p(i) \leq \omega$.
Thus $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) \in V_\varpi(\omega)$.
Therefore, $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in WRMC_\omega(m,p)$.
It is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(WRMC_\omega(m,p)) = \mathrm{card}(\{i \in [0, p^m-1] \mid Wwt_p(i) \leq \omega\})$.
On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{card}(B_\omega) = \mathrm{card}(\{i \in [0, p^m-1] \mid Wwt_p(i) \geq (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - \omega\})$.
Consider the bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : [0, p^m-1] &\longrightarrow [0, p^m-1] \\ i = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k p^k &\longmapsto \theta(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (p-1-i_k)p^k. \end{aligned}$$

We have $Wwt_p(\theta(i)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k(p-1-i_k) = (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - Wwt_p(i)$, i.e. $Wwt_p(i) = (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - Wwt_p(\theta(i))$.
Thus, we have $Wwt_p(i) \leq \omega \Longleftrightarrow Wwt_p(\theta(i)) \geq (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - \omega$.
Hence, $\mathrm{card}(\{i \in [0, p^m-1] \mid Wwt_p(i) \leq \omega\}) = \mathrm{card}(\{i \in [0, p^m-1] \mid Wwt_p(i) \geq (p-1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k - \omega\})$. □

The following Corollary is the famous result of Berman-Charpin ([1],[4],[5]).

3.4 Corollary. *Consider the weights* $w_0 = \ldots = w_{m-1} = 1$ *and an integer* ω *such that* $0 \leq \omega \leq m(p-1)$. *Then, the set*

$$B_\omega := \{(x_0-1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1}-1)^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq p-1, \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m(p-1) - \omega\}$$

forms a linear basis of the GRM code $C_\omega(m,p) = P^{m(p-1)-\omega}$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_p$, *where* P *is the radical power of* A.

4 The homogeneous Reed-Muller codes

In this section, we recall the definition and some properties of the homogeneous Reed-Muller codes [3],[10]. $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the field of $q = p^r$ elements with p a prime number and $r \geq 1$ an integer. For $n = q^m - 1$, let $\{0, P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ be the set of points in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$ ordered in a fixed order.
Let $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials in m variables over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d.
Now d denote an integer such that $0 \leq d \leq m(q-1)$. The dth order homogeneous Reed-Muller (HRM) codes of length q^m over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined as

$$HRMC_d(m,q) := \{(F(0), F(P_1), \ldots, F(P_n)) \mid F \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0\}. \quad (9)$$

Thus $HRMC_d(m,q)$ is a proper subcode of the GRM code $C_d(m,q)$.
The following theorem can be found in [3].

4.1 Theorem. *Let d such that $1 \leq d \leq (m-1)(q-1)$. The HRM code $HRMC_d(m,q)$ is an $[n+1, k, \delta]$ linear code with $n+1 = q^m$,*

$$k = \sum_{t \equiv d \bmod (q-1), 0 < t \leq d} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \binom{t - jq + m - 1}{t - jq},$$

and

$$\delta = (q-1)(q-s)q^{m-r-2},$$

where $d - 1 = r(q-1) + s$ and $0 \leq s < q-1$.

5 Description of the binary HRM codes in A

First, we recall some results in the Proposition 3.1 for the special case $p = 2$. In this section, we consider the ambiant space

$$A = \mathbb{F}_2[X_0, \ldots, X_{m-1}]/(X_0^2 - 1, \ldots, X_{m-1}^2 - 1).$$

We have

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = (x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}$$

where $0 \leq i_k \leq 1$, for all k,

$$H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) := \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} H_{i_l}(Y_l)$$

and

$$H_i(Y) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{1-i} (Y + j),$$

with $\alpha_i = -i! \bmod 2$.
Note that $B_{(1,1,\ldots,1)}(\underline{x}) = (x_0 - 1)^1 \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^1 = \hat{1}$ is the "all one" word.
Let d be an integer such that $0 \leq d \leq m$. The dth order homogeneous Reed-Muller (HRM) codes of length 2^m over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is defined as

$$HRMC_d(m,2) := \{(F(0), F(P_1), \ldots, F(P_n)) \mid F \in \mathbb{F}_2[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0\},$$

where $n = 2^m - 1$. We now give the description of the binary HRM code $HRMC_d(m,2)$ in A.

5.1 Theorem. *Let d be an integer such that $1 \leq d \leq m$. The set*

$$\{(x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} + \hat{1} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq 1, \quad m > \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m - d\}$$

forms a linear basis for the binary HRM code $HRMC_d(m,2)$.

Proof. Let d such that $1 \leq d \leq m$.
Consider the element

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) + \hat{1} = (x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} + \hat{1},$$

where $0 \leq i_k \leq 1$ for all k and $m > \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m - d$.
Set $D(\underline{i}) := \{\underline{j} \in (\{0,1\})^m \mid \underline{j} \leq \underline{i}\}$ and $C(\underline{i}) := (\{0,1\})^m - D(\underline{i})$.
We have $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) = 0$ for $\underline{j} \in C(\underline{i})$.
Thus

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} = \sum_{\underline{j} \in (\{0,1\})^m} H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \quad .$$

We have

$$H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) := \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} H_{i_l}(Y_l),$$

where

$$H_1(Y) = 1, H_0(Y) = Y + 1. \tag{10}$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m - d$, then $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in P^{m-d}$ where P is the radical of the modular algebra A.
And since $P^{m-d} = C_d(m,2)$, then $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) \in P_d(m,2)$ where $P_d(m,2)$ is a linear space generated by the set

$$\{Y_0^{i_0} \ldots Y_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq 1, 0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \leq d\}. \tag{11}$$

We have

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) + \hat{1} = \sum_{\underline{j} \in (\{0,1\})^m} (H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{j}) + 1) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \quad .$$

By (10) and (11), we have $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0$.
Note that $\mathbb{F}_2[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0$ is a linear space generated by the set

$$S := \{Y_0^{i_0} \ldots Y_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq 1, 0 < \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \leq d\}.$$

Thus $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) + \hat{1} \in HRMC_d(m, 2)$.
Note also that $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k = m$ if and only if $i_k = 1$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$.
Set $R := \{(x_0 - 1)^{i_0} \ldots (x_{m-1} - 1)^{i_{m-1}} + \hat{1} \mid 0 \leq i_k \leq 1, m > \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m - d\}$.
We will show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(HRMC_d(m, 2)) = \text{card}(R)$.
We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(HRMC_d(m, 2)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathbb{F}_2[Y_0, \ldots, Y_{m-1}]_d^0) = \text{card}(S)$.
Consider the bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : \quad & (\{0,1\})^m \longrightarrow (\{0,1\})^m \\ & (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \longmapsto (1 - i_0, \ldots, 1 - i_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Set $R' := \{\underline{i} = (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \in (\{0,1\})^m \mid \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \geq m - d\}$
and $S' := \{\underline{i} = (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \in (\{0,1\})^m \mid \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \leq d\}$.
It is clear that $S' = \beta(R')$. Thus $\text{card}(R') = \text{card}(S')$.
Since $\text{card}(R) = \text{card}(R') - 1$ and $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(S') - 1$, then $\text{card}(R) = \text{card}(S)$. □

6 Decoding procedure for the binary HRM codes

In this section, we will follow Landrock-Manz as in [9].
Let d be an integer such that $1 \leq d \leq m$. The HRM code $HRMC_d(m, 2)$ is of type $\left[2^m, \sum_{t=1}^{d} \binom{m}{t}, 2^{m-d}\right]$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$.
Set $b(\{i_1, \ldots, i_t\}) := (x_{i_1} - 1) \ldots (x_{i_t} - 1)$,
where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_t\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.
$B_{m-d} := \{b(\eta) + \hat{1} \mid \eta \subseteq \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}, m > \text{card}(\eta) \geq m - d\}$ is a linear basis of $HRMC_d(m, 2)$.
General results of the following Proposition can be found in [2].

6.1 Proposition. *We have*

1. $b(\{\}) = 1$.
2. $$b(\eta).b(\kappa) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \eta \cap \kappa \neq \{\}, \\ b(\eta \cup \kappa) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
3. *The weight of the codeword* $w(b(\{i_1, \ldots, i_t\})) = 2^t$.
4. $b(\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}) = \hat{1}$ *the "all one" word.*
5. $\hat{1}.b(\{\eta\}) = 0$ *if* $\eta \neq \{\}$.

Set $\eta^c := \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\} - \eta$.
Let $c \in HRMC_d(m, 2)$ be a transmitted codeword and $v \in A$ the received vector, where

$$A = \mathbb{F}_2[X_0, \ldots, X_{m-1}]/(X_0^2 - 1, \ldots, X_{m-1}^2 - 1)$$

Since $HRMC_d(m, 2)$ is $(2^{m-d-1} - 1)$-error correcting, we write $v = c + f$ with $w(f) \leq 2^{m-d-1} - 1$.
We have

$$c = \sum_{m-d \leq \mathrm{card}(\eta) < m,\ \eta \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}} \tau(\eta)(b(\eta) + \hat{1})$$

with $\tau(\eta) \in \mathbb{F}_2$.
We now present the decoding procedure to determine the coefficients $\tau(\eta)$.
Step1:
Let κ be a subset of $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $\mathrm{card}(\kappa) = m - d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} v.b(\kappa^c) &= (c + f).b(\kappa^c) \\ &= \Big(\sum_{m-d \leq \mathrm{card}(\eta) < m,\ \eta \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}} \tau(\eta)(b(\eta) + \hat{1}) + f \Big).b(\kappa^c) \\ &= \sum_{m-d \leq \mathrm{card}(\eta) < m,\ \eta \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}} \tau(\eta)(b(\eta).b(\kappa^c) + \hat{1}.b(\kappa^c)) + f.b(\kappa^c) \\ &= \tau(\kappa).\hat{1} + f.b(\kappa^c) \end{aligned}$$

We have $w(f.b(\kappa^c)) \leq w(f).w(b(\kappa^c)) \leq (2^{m-d-1} - 1).2^d = 2^{m-1} - 2^d < 2^{m-1} = \frac{1}{2}2^m$.
Then it is easy to see that

$$\tau(\kappa) = 0 \text{ if and only if } w(v.b(\kappa^c)) < 2^{m-1}.$$

We next subtract $\tau(\kappa).(b(\kappa) + \hat{1})$ from v and obtain $v' = v + \tau(\kappa).(b(\kappa) + \hat{1}) = c' + f$ where $c' = c + \tau(\kappa).(b(\kappa) + \hat{1}) \in HRMC_d(m, 2)$.
Consider another set η of cardinality $\mathrm{card}(\eta) = m - d$, multiply v' by $b(\eta^c)$ and find so $\tau(\eta)$. Having eventually run through all sets of cardinality $m - d$, we end up with $v'' = c'' + f$, where $c'' \in HRMC_{d-1}(m, 2)$.
Step2:
We now fix a set κ of cardinality $m - d + 1$ and by using the same technique as in the first step, we can find the coefficient $\tau(\kappa)$. We repeat the same treatment for all set η of cardinality $m - d + 1$. We eventually determine

$$c = \sum_{m-d \leq \mathrm{card}(\eta) < m,\ \eta \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}} \tau(\eta)(b(\eta) + \hat{1}).$$

If another step is needed, we must pick a set κ of cardinality $m - d + 2$ and determine $\tau(\kappa)$, and we continue in this way.

7 An example

Consider the binary HRM code $HRMC_1(5,2)$. This code is of type $[32,5,16]$.
Set $\widetilde{E_l} = \prod_{k\neq l}(x_k - 1) = b(\{l\}^c)$, where $l \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$.
The set $\{\widetilde{E_l} + \hat{1} \mid l = 0,1,2,3,4\}$ forms a linear basis for $HRMC_1(5,2)$.
Let $c = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \tau_i.(\widetilde{E_i} + \hat{1})$ be a transmitted codeword with $\tau_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $v \in A = \mathbb{F}_2[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_4]/(X_0^2-1, \ldots, X_4^2-1)$ the received vector. Since $HRMC_1(5,2)$ is 7-error correcting, we write $v = c + f$ with $w(f) \leq 7$.
We have
$v.(x_j - 1) = (c + f).(x_j - 1) = c.(x_j - 1) + f.(x_j - 1) = \tau_j.\hat{1} + f.(x_j - 1)$ for $j = 0,1,2,3,4$.
Since $w(f.(x_j - 1)) \leq w(f).w((x_j - 1)) \leq 7 * 2 = 14 < 16$, then we have the following Proposition

7.1 Proposition.

$$\tau_j = 0 \quad if\ and\ only\ if \quad w(v.(x_j - 1)) < 16$$

By multiplying v with $(x_j - 1)$ for $j = 0,1,2,3,4$ and utilizing the Proposition 7.1, we obtain the coefficients $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$ of c.

References

[1] H. Andriatahiny, *The Generalized Reed-Muller codes and the Radical Powers of a modular algebra*, British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 18(5):1-14, 2016.

[2] E. F. Assmus and J. D. Key, *Polynomial codes and finite geometries*, Handbook of Coding Theory, 1994.

[3] T.P. Berger, *Automorphism Groups of Homogeneous and Projective Reed-Muller Codes*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 48, no.5:1035-1045, 2002.

[4] S. D. Berman, *On the theory of group codes*, Kibernetika 3(1):31-39, 1967.

[5] P. Charpin, *Une généralisation de la construction de Berman des codes de Reed et Muller p-aires*, Communications in algebra 16:2231-2246, 1988.

[6] E. Couselo, S. Gonzalez, VT. Markov, C. Martinez, AA. Nechaev, *Ideal representation of Reed-Solomon and Reed-Muller codes*, Algebra and Logic, vol.51, no.3, 2012.

[7] S.A. Jennings, *The structure of the group ring of a p-group over a modular field*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., 50:175-185, 1941.

[8] T. Kasami, S. Lin and W. W. Peterson, *New generalizations of the Reed-Muller codes*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 14 , no.2:189-205, 1968.

[9] Landrock P. and Manz O., *Classical Codes as Ideals in Group Algebras*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 2:273-285, 1992.

[10] O. Moreno, I.M. Duursma, J.P. Cherdieu, A. Edouard, *Cyclic Subcodes of Generalized Reed-Muller Codes*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 44, no.1:307-311, 1998.

[11] A.B. Sorensen, *Weighted Reed-Muller Codes and Algebraic-Geometric Codes*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 38, no.6:1821-1826, 1992.

[12] J.H. Van Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory*, 3rd ed., Springer, 1998.